hep-th/9602111

MACROSCOPIC ENTROPY OF N = 2 EXTREMAL BLACK HOLES

Andrew Strominger[1]

Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93206-9530, USA

arXiv:hep-th/9602111v3 8 Mar 1996

ABSTRACT

Extremal BPS-saturated black holes in $N = 2$, $d = 4$ supergravity can carry electric and magnetic charges $(q^{\Lambda}_{(m)}, q^{(e)}_{\Lambda})$. It is shown that in smooth cases the moduli fields at the horizon take a fixed "rational" value $X^{\Lambda}(q_{(m)}, q^{(e)})$ which is determined by the charges and is independent of the asymptotic values of the moduli fields. A universal formula for the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is derived in terms of the charges and the moduli space geometry at $X^{\Lambda}(q_{(m)}, q^{(e)})$. This work extends previous results of Ferrara, Kallosh and the author for the pure magnetic case.

[1]E-mail: andy@denali.physics.ucsb.edu

1 Introduction

The thermodynamic properties of black holes are elegantly summarized by assigning a macroscopic entropy S_{BH} to a black hole equal to one quarter the area, in Planck units, of the event horizon [1][2]. Ordinary thermodynamic entropies can be derived by counting microstates. It is natural to suppose that this is possible for black hole entropy as well. However since the Planck length appears in the entropy formula a quantum theory of gravity is presumably required. It is an outstanding challenge to string theory to "explain" the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy-area law.

The simplest cases to consider are the charged, BPS-saturated, extremal black holes in an $N \geq 2$ supersymmetric theory, which sometimes (but not always) have nonvanishing horizon area. These are black hole ground states: a non-extremal black hole will lose mass via Hawking emission until the BPS bound is saturated. S_{BH} then counts the ground state degeneracy. Topological methods can typically be employed to count such degeneracies. Recently such a microscopic derivation of S_{BH} was achieved for some special cases in string theory [3] - [6]. However a complete explanation of why the entropy takes the universal form of one quarter the area in all cases remains to be found.

In recent work by Ferrara, Kallosh and the author [7], S_{BH} was macroscopically computed for certain magnetically charged black holes in $N = 2$, $d = 4$ supergravity. A salient feature of this work was that the near-horizon geometry, in particular the area of the event horizon and values of the moduli fields, is determined solely by the charges and is independent of the asymptotic values of the moduli fields Z. This comes about because the variation of the moduli fields as a function of the radius is governed by a damped geodesic equation on the moduli space. The coefficients in this equation depend on the charges and the inital data are given by the asymptotic values of the moduli. The equation has an attractive fixed point Z_q, which is reached at the horizon. The location of this fixed point depends only on the charges. Hence as the asymptotic moduli are smoothly varied (within the basin of attraction), the near-horizon geometry is unaffected. As pointed out in [8] (in the N=4 context [9],[10]), this is a necessary condition for a statistical interpretation of S_{BH}: the number of internal black hole states should remain constant as the external environment in which it is immersed is adiabatically changed.

The aim of this paper is to extend these results to the general case including both electric and magnetic charges. A simple and universal formula is derived (see section 3 below) which involves the moduli space geometry at the (charge-dependent) value Z_q of the moduli fields at the horizon.

A microscopic derivation of this $N = 2$ entropy formula along the lines of [3] would be of interest. As mentioned in [3], the entropy can be related to the Betti numbers of moduli spaces of certain vector bundles on the Calabi-Yau spaces. However these numbers do not seem easy to compute. It would also be of interest to see if the states with degeneracies defined by (29) can be organized in to a BPS algebra of the kind described in [11] . The area for $N = 4$ was found in in [9],[10] and $N = 8$ appeared recently in [12]. In all cases the entropy is independent of the

moduli.

2 Conventions and Review of Special Geometry

We study N = 2 supergravity coupled to n N = 2 vector multiplets. In this section, adapted from [7], some formulae that will be needed in the following are recalled. Further details can be found in [13] whose notation we adopt. The supergravity theory is defined in terms of a projective covariantly holomorphic section $(X^\Lambda(\phi^i), -\frac{i}{2}F_\Lambda(\phi^i))$, $\Lambda = 0, 1, ..., n$, $i = 1, ..., n$, of an $Sp(2n+2)$ vector bundle over the moduli space parametrized by ϕ^i. (We note that alternate conventions are often employed in which the definition of F_Λ differs by a factor of $2i$ and/or the section is holomorphic.) In some cases the theory can be specified by a covariantly holomorphic function $F(X)$ of degree two:

$$F_\Lambda(\phi^i) = F_\Lambda(X(\phi^i)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\Lambda} F(X) \ . \tag{1}$$

It is convenient to introduce the inhomogeneous coordinates

$$Z^\Lambda = \frac{X^\Lambda(\phi_i)}{X^0(\phi_i)} \ , \qquad Z^0 = 1 \ . \tag{2}$$

We assume $Z^\Lambda(\phi_i)$ to be invertible, so that, in special coordinates, $\frac{\partial Z^\Lambda}{\partial \phi^i} = \delta_i^\Lambda$. In this case the complex scalars $Z^i = \phi^i$ $(i = 1, ..., n)$ represent the lowest component of the n vector multiplets of N = 2 supersymmetry. The Kähler potential determining the metric of these fields is

$$K(Z, \bar{Z}) = 2 \ln |X^0| = - \ln \left(N_{\Lambda\Sigma}(Z, \bar{Z}) \, Z^\Lambda \bar{Z}^\Sigma \right) , \tag{3}$$

where $N_{\Lambda\Sigma} = \frac{1}{4}(F_{\Lambda\Sigma} + \bar{F}_{\Lambda\Sigma})$ and we work in conformal gauge [13]

$$N_{\Lambda\Sigma} X^\Lambda \bar{X}^\Sigma = 1 \ . \tag{4}$$

The graviphoton field strength, as well as the field strengths of the n Abelian vector multiplets, are constructed out of $n+1$ field strengths $\hat{F}^\Lambda_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu W^\Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu W^\Lambda_\mu$. The graviphoton field strength is

$$T^+_{\mu\nu} = \frac{4 N_{\Lambda\Sigma} X^\Lambda}{N_{IJ} X^I X^J} \ \hat{F}^{+\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} \ , \tag{5}$$

where $\hat{F}^\pm \equiv \hat{F} \mp i * \hat{F}$. This combination of field strengths determines the central charge of the theory, since it enters into the gravitino transformation rule. The vector field strengths which enter the gaugino supersymmetry transformations are

$$\mathcal{F}^{+\Lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \hat{F}^{+\Lambda}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} X^\Lambda \, T^+_{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{6}$$

The anti-self-dual vector field strengths $\hat{F}^{-\Lambda}$ are part of the symplectic vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{F}^{-\Lambda} \\ -2i \bar{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda\Sigma} \hat{F}^{-\Sigma} \equiv i G^-_\Lambda \end{pmatrix} , \tag{7}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} \equiv \frac{1}{4}\bar{F}_{\Lambda\Sigma} - \frac{X^{\Omega}N_{\Omega\Lambda}X^{\Delta}N_{\Delta\Sigma}}{N_{IJ}X^{I}X^{J}}. \tag{8}$$

The vector part of the action is then proportional to

$$\text{Re } \hat{F}^{-\Lambda}G^{-}_{\Lambda} \ , \tag{9}$$

and the graviphoton field strength can be written in the manifestly symplectic form

$$T^{-}_{\mu\nu} = 2X^{\Lambda}G^{-}_{\Lambda\mu\nu} + F_{\Lambda}\hat{F}^{-\Lambda}_{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{10}$$

The Lagrangian for the scalar components of the vector multiplets is defined by the Kähler potential as

$$g_{i\bar{j}}\,\partial_{\mu}\phi^{i}\,\partial_{\nu}\bar{\phi}^{\bar{j}}\,g^{\mu\nu} \ , \tag{11}$$

where $g^{\mu\nu}$ is the space-time metric, and

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = \partial_{i}\partial_{\bar{j}}K(\phi,\bar{\phi}) \ . \tag{12}$$

The gravitino supersymmetry transformation law, to leading order in fermi fields, is

$$\delta\psi^{\alpha}_{\mu} = 2\nabla_{\mu}\epsilon^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{16}\gamma^{\nu\lambda}T^{-}_{\nu\lambda}\gamma_{\mu}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{\beta} + iA_{\mu}\epsilon^{\alpha} \ , \tag{13}$$

where $\alpha,\beta = 1,2$ are $SU(2)$ indices and $A_{\mu} = \frac{i}{2}N_{\Lambda\Sigma}[\bar{X}^{\Lambda}\partial_{\mu}X^{\Sigma} - (\partial_{\mu}\bar{X}^{\Lambda})X^{\Sigma}]$. The gaugino transformation law is

$$\delta\Omega^{\Lambda}_{\alpha} = 2\gamma^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}X^{\Lambda}\epsilon_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\nu\lambda}\mathcal{F}^{+\Lambda}_{\nu\lambda}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\beta} + 2i\gamma^{\mu}A_{\mu}\epsilon_{\alpha} \ . \tag{14}$$

BPS states of the N = 2 theory have a mass equal to the central charge z. It follows from the supersymmetry transformation rules that this is simply the graviphoton charge [14]

$$M = |z| = |q^{(e)}_{\Lambda}X^{\Lambda} - \frac{i}{2}q^{\Lambda}_{(m)}F_{\Lambda}| \ , \tag{15}$$

where the charges

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\Lambda}_{(m)} \quad &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{S^2}\text{Re}\hat{F}^{+\Lambda}, \\ q^{(e)}_{\Lambda} \quad &\equiv -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{S^2}\text{Re}(iG^{+}_{\Lambda}), \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

comprise a symplectic vector. Quantum mechanically these charges are quantized. The minimal integral normalization of (16) should be determined in the context of a complete quantum theory such as string theory.

3 Black Hole Entropy

In [7] pure magnetically charged extremal black holes were described (in theories with restricted prepotentials) as solitons which interpolate, as one moves into the throat of the black hole, between the asymptotic Minkowski vacuum and a maximally symmetric charged Robinson-Berttoti ($AdS \times S^2$) vacuum at the horizon. The Minkowski vacuum is characterized by a fixed value of the moduli Z^Λ_∞, while the Robinson-Berttoti vacuum is characterized by a fixed value of the moduli

$$Z^\Lambda_q = q^\Lambda_{(m)}/q^0_{(m)} \quad \text{when} \quad q^{(e)}_\Lambda = 0 \tag{17}$$

determined solely by the charges. The variation of the moduli Z^Λ as a function of the radius is given by a (charge-dependent) damped geodesic equation which has an attractive fixed point at Z^Λ_q. Hence as long as one remains in the basin of attraction, the near horizon geometry depends only on the charges and is unaffected by smooth variations of Z^Λ_∞. The area of the event horizon is given by the universal formula

$$Area(q) = \frac{\pi}{4}(q^0_{(m)})^2 e^{-K(Z_q)}. \tag{18}$$

This may alternately be expressed in the covariant form

$$Area(q) = \frac{\pi}{4} q^\Lambda_{(m)} N_{\Lambda\Sigma} q^\Sigma_{(m)}. \tag{19}$$

For the special case

$$Z^\Lambda_\infty = Z^\Lambda_q, \tag{20}$$

the geometry is Reissner-Nordstrom

$$ds^2 = -e^{2U}dt^2 + e^{-2U}(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2) \tag{21}$$

with

$$e^{-U} = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{Area(q)/4\pi}}{r} \ . \tag{22}$$

We wish to generalize (17) and (18) to the general case in which both electric and magnetic charges are nonzero and the prepotential F is unrestricted. In [7] the full spacetime solutions were described for $Z^\Lambda_\infty \neq Z^\Lambda_q$. This seems rather difficult to accomplish for the general case. However in order to generalize (17) and (18) we need only understand the special case of constant Z^Λ, which is a much simpler problem. Under these circumstances the gaugino variation reduces to

$$\delta\Omega^\Lambda_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\nu\lambda}\mathcal{F}^{+\Lambda}_{\nu\lambda}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^\beta \ . \tag{23}$$

Multiplying this equation by $\gamma^{\nu\lambda}\mathcal{F}^{+\Lambda}_{\nu\lambda}$ one finds that the right hand side can vanish if and only if

$$\mathcal{F}^{+\Lambda}_{\nu\lambda} = 0. \tag{24}$$

It follows from the definition (6) of $\mathcal{F}^+$ in terms of $\hat{F}^+$ that at regular points in the moduli space solutions of this equation are parameterized by a complex spacetime constant C

$$\hat{F}^{+\Lambda}_{\nu\lambda} = CX^\Lambda \epsilon^+_{\nu\lambda} \tag{25}$$

where ϵ^+ obeys $*\epsilon^+ = i\epsilon^+$ and is normalized so that its integral over the nontrivial S^2 equals 2π. In general the phase of C depends on the Kahler gauge. Inserting this in to the definitions (7) and (8) one finds

$$iG^+_\Lambda = C\frac{i}{2}F_\Lambda \epsilon^+ \ . \tag{26}$$

The electric and magnetic charges are accordingly

$$(q^\Lambda_{(m)}, q^{(e)}_\Lambda) = \mathrm{Re}(CX^\Lambda_q, -C\frac{i}{2}F_\Lambda(X_q)). \tag{27}$$

If $\Lambda = 0, 1, ...n$, the right hand side of this equation involves $2n+4$ variables, the real and imaginary parts of X^Λ, C. Two of these may be eliminated by a choice of Kahler gauge leaving $2n+2$ real variables. Hence (27) is $2n+2$ equations for $2n+2$ unknowns, and both X^Λ and C are determined up to gauge transformations. A solution of this equation associates a point on moduli space, which we shall denote $Z^\Lambda_q = X^\Lambda_q/X^0_q$, to a quantized set of charges $(q^\Lambda_{(m)}, q^{(e)}_\Lambda)$.(In principle there could be more or less than one solution.) This point is invariant under uniform rescalings of all the charges, while C scales linearly.

Given (25) the vanishing of the gravitino variation determines the metric. One finds, as in [7], that the geometry is of the form (21) with

$$e^{-U} = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{C\bar{C}}}{4r} \ . \tag{28}$$

This corresponds to a Reissner-Nordstrom spacetime with horizon area

$$Area(q_{(e)}, q^{(m)}) = \frac{\pi}{4}C\bar{C}. \tag{29}$$

When F is real for real X^Λ and $q^{(e)} = 0$, then $CX^\Lambda_q = q^\Lambda_{(m)}$, and $C\bar{C} = (q^0_{(m)})^2 e^{-K(Z_q)}$. This is in agreement with [7] and equations (17) and (18).

The relation (27) can be satisfied for quantized $(q^{(e)}, q_{(m)})$ only at special rational points on moduli space, the analogs of rational tori. Insight into the nature of these points can be gained in the context of string compactification on a Calabi-Yau space. The holomorphic 3-form on the Calabi-Yau can be expanded

$$\Omega = e^{-K/2}X^\Lambda \alpha_\Lambda - \frac{i}{2}e^{-K/2}F_\Lambda \beta^\Lambda, \tag{30}$$

where α_Λ and β^Λ are a symplectic integral basis for H_3 obeying

$$\int \alpha_\Lambda \wedge \beta^\Sigma = \delta_\Lambda{}^\Sigma. \tag{31}$$

This implies $\mathrm{Re}[Ce^{K/2}\Omega]$ is proportional to an element of $H_3(X,Z)$:

$$\mathrm{Re}[Ce^{K/2}\Omega] = q^{\Lambda}_{(m)}\alpha_{\Lambda} + q^{(e)}_{\Lambda}\beta^{\Lambda}. \tag{32}$$

Typically one specifies half the b_3 complex periods of Ω, $e^{-K/2}X^{\Lambda}$, and then computes the second half, $e^{-K/2}F_{\Lambda}$, as a function of the first half. Here the situation is different: the point on moduli space corresponding to a given set of charges is determined by (in a gauge in which C is real) the real part of all b_3 periods. In general it is a difficult problem to solve for the complex X's as a function of the real parts of the periods, and a solution may not exist for all values of the $(q^{(e)}, q_{(m)})$. (Extremal black hole solutions with such charges will have a different character and in some cases have a naked singularity.) In some simple cases the solutions can be explicitly found [7].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by DOE grant DOE-91ER40618. We are grateful to S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh and C. Vafa for useful conversations.

References

[1] J. Bekenstein, Lett. Nuov. Cimento **4** (1972) 737, Phys. Rev. **D7** (1973) 2333, Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 3292.

[2] S. Hawking, Nature **248** (1974) 30, Comm. Math. Phys. **43** 1975.

[3] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, hep-th/9601029.

[4] C. Callan and J. Maldacena, hep-th/9602043.

[5] G. Horowitz and A. Strominger, hep-th/9602051.

[6] J. Beckenridge, R. Myers, A. Peet and C. Vafa, hep-th/9602065.

[7] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh and A. Strominger, hep-th/9508072, Phys. Rev. **D 52**, 5412 (1995).

[8] F. Larsen and F. Wilczek, hep-th/9511064.

[9] R. Kallosh, A. Linde, T. Ortín, A. Peet and A Van Proeyen, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 5278 (1992).

[10] M. Cvetic and D. Youm, hep-th/9507090; M. Cvetic and A. Tseytlin, hep-th/9512031.

[11] J. Harvey and G. Moore, hep-th/9510182.

[12] R. Kallosh and B. Kol, hep-th/9602014.

[13] B. de Witt, P.G. Lawers, and A. Van Proeyen, Nucl. Phys. **B255**, 569 (1985).

[14] L. Castellani, R. D'Auria, and S. Ferrara, Class. Quantum Grav. **1**, 317 (1990);
A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, and A. Van Proeyen, Nucl. Phys. **B444**, 92 (1995).